

FIDUCIARY RULE / NEWS

Nevada's Banking Regulator Tells Lawmakers Tough Laws More Important than More Business

March 26, 2011

By [admin](#), Contributor

At a time when Nevada is suffering from the worst financial crisis since the great depression, Nevada's top banking cop George E. Burns says he's done a good job keeping the state's financial institutions safe.

Since George Burns took over the job safeguarding Nevada's banks and trust companies in late 2007, his tenure has been marked by the closure of more institutions than any time in recent memory.

You would think all this tough regulation would be good for the state. But nothing Burns has accomplished has helped bring in new investors from other states and abroad, create jobs, boost home prices or restore Nevada's soiled banking reputation.

As a matter of fact, experts say, he's made matters worse because his red-tape regulation has sent new banking and trust business to other states.

After years of watching the Nevada trust industry shrink despite [some of the best fiduciary statutes](#) around, state lawmakers are now considering a bill that would stop Burns from his binge of "regulations gone wild."

In an effort to slow Burns down from promoting new [rules](#), [Nevada Senate Bill 198](#) was introduced last week before the Nevada legislature. It is a straightforward attempt to restore the competitive advantages that once enticed out-of-state trust companies to come to the Silver State.

Sponsored by Senator Michael Roberson, the proposal fine-tunes the application process, clarifies the interstate branching rules and aims to eliminate the requirement that forces trust companies to set aside at least \$500,000 of their reserves in cash.

However, while Burns is officially lukewarm on most of the bill's provisions, he draws a line at loosening the cash requirement — apparently because if a trust company fails, selling off its stocks and bonds would be too much trouble.

"Readily available cash to fund the costs of receivership is essential because the ability of the state or receiver to liquidate securities portfolios is cumbersome and protracted," he testified in a recent Nevada state hearing.

How much cash does the "king" need?

Featured Contributors

Dynastic Gamesmanship: Did David Rockefeller's Crafty Trust Work Leave the IRS Holding the Bag?

By [Scott Martin](#), Contributor

March 27, 2017

One of the legendary fortunes just moved one generation farther from the source. The next few years will determine whether dynastic planning has hit its ultimate limit or truly run forever. The Rockefeller family helped write the book on long-term estate planning eight decades ago. Now we'll see how the latest chapters build on the [...]

Jennifer Garner May Be Too Expensive For Ben Affleck To Quit: HNW Divorce Planning Evolves

By [Scott Martin](#), Contributor

March 13, 2017

"Soft split" has now stretched deep into its second year with no papers actually being served or assets divided. And it may be one of the smartest moves Affleck's advisors have ever made. Depending on the rumor you believe, Ben Affleck and Jennifer Garner are either getting back together or still on the road to [...]

Obama \$65M Book Deal Juices Otherwise Dry Presidential Estate Plan

By [Scott Martin](#), Contributor

March 6, 2017


After the White House, the really big paychecks come from publishing and public appearances. But unless the Obamas have been concealing a lot of both cash and ambition, they're going to need a lot of time to catch up with the Bushes and Clintons alike. Barack and Michelle Obama left the White House with matching [...]

Demi Moore Pool Death Puts Asset Protection To The Test

By [Scott Martin](#), Contributor

March 2, 2017

Staff taking responsibility for after-hours guest drowning takes the legal pressure off the star and her family, but the owner of the premises



By his estimates, it takes at least \$500,000 to \$1 million to wind down a failed trust company's affairs, so it makes sense that every Nevada-chartered vendor keep that much capital on hand in the event of disaster.

But in a world where elite family offices and other entities looking to set up trust companies can pick and choose where they want to do business, this actually seems a little too "prudent."

Nominally "conservative" states like New Hampshire — which actually disparage the Nevada rules as too loose to protect consumers — are fine with trust companies investing their entire reserve in marketable securities and earning a decent return on their capital.

Back when Nevada only insisted on \$300,000 from trust companies — exactly what the regs want from start-up depository banks, incidentally — the net opportunity cost of sinking \$150,000 into near-zero-yielding Treasury bills was relatively small.

After the state [tightened the rules](#) in 2009, potential entrants are finding it easier to go to South Dakota, which only mandates \$200,000 in cash.

"Now that the minimum capital has increased to \$1 million, it is impractical for companies to maintain half their equity in cash," Las Vegas trust attorney Matthew Saltzman tells me.

When pressed in the hearing, Burns conceded that he's not really married to the \$500,000 cash minimum. He just wants Nevada-chartered trust companies to keep as much cash as possible to ease the "cumbersome" task of liquidating the portfolio in a worst-case 2008-level scenario.

And while cash may be king, he's also [been quoted](#) as defending troubled Nevada banks on the grounds that numbers are "not the entire picture."

At the time, he said regulators need to consider "qualitative factors" like management, business plan and active oversight — which would arguably mean the character of a would-be trust company operator is the kingmaker.

Return to the Delaware of the West

As it is, Nevada under Burns' watch has seen the number of trust companies licensed to do business [drop](#) significantly while the roster in South Dakota has [practically doubled](#).

Insiders tell me they suspect there hasn't even been an application for a Nevada charter in years, which has cost the state a bit of revenue in lost fees.

Although trust companies don't employ hordes of people, every white-collar job that Nevada can lure to the state — where unemployment only recently dipped below 14%, compared to South Dakota's 4% — counts a lot to lawmakers and the people who vote for them.

State Senator Michael Schneider, who chairs the committee reviewing the bill, noted in the hearing that it's desirable that Nevada strive to regain its mantle as the "Delaware of the West," Saltzman says.

Of course, there are issues of public safety to uphold. Burns' testimony brought up the unfortunate case of Enterprise Trust Company, which had a Nevada charter but only a token physical presence in the state.

Shortly after the SEC filed a [formal complaint](#) on behalf of the company's Illinois clients, the Nevada FID's own investigations forced it to [shut Enterprise down](#) in a hurry.

With only \$300,000 in regulatory capital, Burns estimates that Enterprise clients lost \$48 million. Under the new rules, of course, they would have still lost over

still shares the financial liability if wrongful death lawsuit succeeds. Like a lot of ultra-high-net-worth people in less glamorous walks of life, Demi Moore doesn't really need to worry about wealth creation [...]

Trump's Newest Ban: Implementing the DOL Fiduciary Rule



By [Jennifer Kelly](#), Contributor

February 24, 2017

It looks like the best the White House can do is keep kicking the regulatory ball down the road, leaving the fiduciary standard in limbo. The DOL won't enforce the new rules, but they aren't going away any time soon either. The DOL fiduciary rule, which requires financial advisors to make sure that all decisions [...]

Most Popular Stories

[Jennifer Garner May Be Too Expensive For Ben Affleck To Quit: HNW Divorce Planning Evolves](#)

[Goldman Sachs Hiring Developer to Work on New Robo-Advisor](#)

[Who'll Reap The Millions From Chuck Berry's Final Album?](#)

[Dynastic Gamesmanship: Did David Rockefeller's Crafty Trust Work Leave the IRS Holding the Bag?](#)

[HSAs Gain Notice — But The Real Boom Is Coming](#)

Recent Comments



[Gloria Schwope Foote](#)

Never a positive word . . . Let's see just how negative we can get - again.

[10 Reasons To Be Cautious As Stock Markets Lose 'Trump Trade' Mojo](#) · 3 days ago



[Wolf Barone](#)

The Fiduciary Rule is a Red Herring. The DOL has no Enforcement Capabilities except Class Action Lawsuits. The reason for the Rule is to push as many...

\$47 million, so the real burden is always going to be on the backs of the regulators, not the regulatory capital.

In that light, it's admirable that, as Burns says, "gone now are the past days of anyone with a little capital coming to Nevada, easily securing a trust license, hanging it in a resident agent's office, and heading off somewhere else."

But as David Dunn — longtime Las Vegas resident and CEO of South Dakota-chartered Kingsbridge Trust — can attest, it's a fine balancing act between making it tough for the shady operators and making it too tough for the legitimate vendors.

Dunn was probably one of the last people to consider a Nevada trust charter, but as the new rules were set in motion, he [choose South Dakota instead](#).

Dunn asked Burns at the hearing, "Where were your examiners before Enterprise failed?" Burns never replied.

Burns is facing background noise about whether he has enough of the new governor's confidence to stay on as commissioner.

Since taking office in January, [Governor Brian Sandoval](#) has fired two agency chiefs, the heads of Nevada's Tax and Mortgage divisions: one for incompetence, the other for being a political liability. Questions remain whether Burns will be the third to go.

[Scott Martin](#), contributing editor, The Trust Advisor Blog. Jerry Cooper and Steve Maimes contributed to the editing and research.

Permalink: <http://thetrustadvisor.com/news/sb198>

David Dunn, George E. Burns, Kingsbridge Trust, Matthew Saltzman, Michael Roberson, Nevada, Nevada FID, Nevada Trust Companies, SB 198
0 Comments

[Trump's Newest Ban: Implementing the DOL Fiduciary Rule](#) · 3 days ago



Chuck Remes

Great, let's let the "perfect" be the enemy of the "good." What you propose might happen; it isn't a foregone conclusion. It's entirely possible that you are...

[Interstate Health Insurance Will Not Fix Obamacare](#) · 6 days ago



Tony Ristaino

Your headline is nonsense. Trump did not wipe out any gains. Even if gains temporarily go away on balance sheets for book value, the companies will be paid...

[Trump Wipes Out \\$5 Billion of Municipal Gains at Top U.S. Insurers](#) · 2 weeks ago



Tim Holt

I am confused with this article. If the rule means it is economically significant and they have to take time to discover the rule will cost investors and...

[OMB Pushback Jeopardizes DOL Fiduciary Rule Delay](#) · 3 weeks ago

RELATED POSTS



FIDUCIARY RULE /

Texas Judge Denies Emergency Request To Block DOL Fiduciary Rule



NEWS /

ETFs Are Getting Increasingly Esoteric



FIDUCIARY RULE /

40 U.S. House Democrats Oppose Delay Of Labor Dept. Retirement Rule

Archives

Archives

◀ [New Banking Chief Wanted in South Dakota ASAP](#)

[South Dakota Trust Firm's Future in Doubt as Owner Battles with Bank Regulators](#) ▶